

CANADIAN
INTERNATIONAL
TRADE TRIBUNAL

## Appeals

DECISION AND REASONS

Appeal No. AP-2009-080R

M. Miner

٧.

President of the Canada Border Services Agency

> Decision and reasons issued Friday, July 20, 2012



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECISION	 	
STATEMENT OF REASONS	 	1

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal heard on October 12, 2010, pursuant to subsection 67(1) of the *Customs Act*, R.S.C. 1985 (2d Supp.), c. 1;

AND IN THE MATTER OF a decision of the Federal Court of Appeal dated March 9, 2012, which set aside the decision of the Canadian International Trade Tribunal in Appeal No. AP-2009-080 made on January 20, 2011, and remitted the matter to the Canadian International Trade Tribunal.

<b>BETWEEN</b>
----------------

M. MINER Appellant

**AND** 

THE PRESIDENT OF THE CANADA BORDER SERVICES AGENCY

Respondent

## **DECISION**

The appeal is dismissed.

Jason W. Downey
Jason W. Downey
Presiding Member

Gillian Burnett

Gillian Burnett Acting Secretary Tribunal Member: Jason W. Downey, Presiding Member

Counsel for the Tribunal: Eric Wildhaber

Alexandra Pietrzak

Manager, Registrar Programs and Services: Michel Parent

Registrar Officer: Haley Raynor

**PARTICIPANTS:** 

Appellant Counsel/Representative

M. Miner Marc Flynn

Respondent Counsel/Representative

President of the Canada Border Services Agency Peter Nostbakken

Please address all communications to:

The Secretary

Canadian International Trade Tribunal

333 Laurier Avenue West

15th Floor

Ottawa, Ontario

K1A 0G7

Telephone: 613-993-3595 Fax: 613-990-2439

E-mail: secretary@citt-tcce.gc.ca

## STATEMENT OF REASONS

- 1. This remand is further to a judgment<sup>1</sup> of the Federal Court of Appeal (the Court) dated March 9, 2012, concerning a decision of the Canadian International Trade Tribunal (the Tribunal) in *M. Miner v. President of the Canada Border Services Agency*.<sup>2</sup> In its decision, the Tribunal allowed the appeal and held that two wooden tubes (the goods in issue) were not prohibited weapons and, therefore, did not fall under the prohibition set out in section 136 of the *Customs Tariff*.<sup>3</sup> The Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) appealed the Tribunal's decision before the Court.
- 2. Paragraphs 22 and 23 of the Court's judgment provide as follows:
  - 22. ... in reaching its decision that the goods were not properly classified under tariff item No. 9898.00.00 as prohibited weapons, the Tribunal ignored relevant evidence and, contrary to paragraph 152(3)(d) of the *Customs Act*, <sup>[4]</sup> imposed the burden of proof on the CBSA. By virtue of this, its decision is unreasonable.
  - 23. For these reasons, I would allow the appeal, set aside the decision of the [Tribunal] and refer the matter back to the [Tribunal] for redetermination in a manner consistent with these reasons.
- 3. Moreover, the Court concluded that Mr. Miner had failed to establish that the goods in issue were, at the time of importation, incapable of allowing a dart or arrow to be shot.<sup>5</sup>
- 4. In light of the Court's judgment, the Tribunal finds that Mr. Miner failed to establish that the goods in issue were not designed for the purpose of shooting arrows or darts by the breath. Given that the evidence presented by Mr. Miner on this point was indeterminate, he failed to meet the onus of establishing that the goods in issue were not prohibited weapons, as required by paragraph 152(3)(d) of the Act.
- 5. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

Jason W. Downey
Jason W. Downey
Presiding Member

<sup>1.</sup> President of the Canada Border Services Agency v. Mike Miner, 2012 FCA 81 (CanLII) [Miner].

<sup>2. (20</sup> January 2011), AP-2009-080 (CITT).

<sup>3.</sup> S.C. 1997, c. 36.

<sup>4.</sup> R.S.C. 1985 (2d Supp.). c. 1 [*Act*].

<sup>5.</sup> *Miner* at para. 21.