Ottawa, Wednesday, November 2, 1994

Appeal No. AP-94-017

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal heard on September 21, 1994, under section 81.19 of the *Excise Tax Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. E-15;

AND IN THE MATTER OF a decision of the Minister of National Revenue dated February 11, 1994, with respect to a notice of objection served under section 81.17 of the *Excise Tax Act*.

BETWEEN

DIRECT APPLIANCE SALES LTD.

Appellant

AND

THE MINISTER OF NATIONAL REVENUE

Respondent

DECISION OF THE TRIBUNAL

The appeal is dismissed.

Robert C. Coates, Q.C.
Robert C. Coates, Q.C.
Presiding Member

Desmond Hallissey
Desmond Hallissey

Member

Lyle M. Russell
Lyle M. Russell
Member

Michel P. Granger

Michel P. Granger Secretary



UNOFFICIAL SUMMARY

Appeal No. AP-94-017

DIRECT APPLIANCE SALES LTD.

Appellant

and

THE MINISTER OF NATIONAL REVENUE

Respondent

The issue in this appeal is whether the appellant is entitled to a federal sales tax inventory rebate notwithstanding that its rebate application was filed outside the time limit prescribed under subsection 120(8) of the Excise Tax Act.

HELD: The appeal is dismissed. The Tribunal has no authority to make decisions based on equitable considerations.

Place of Hearing: Ottawa, Ontario
Date of Hearing: September 21, 1994
Date of Decision: November 2, 1994

Tribunal Members: Robert C. Coates, Q.C., Presiding Member

Desmond Hallissey, Member Lyle M. Russell, Member

Counsel for the Tribunal: Robert Desjardins

Clerk of the Tribunal: Anne Jamieson

Appearances: Leon Bleiwas, for the appellant

Larissa Easson, for the respondent

Appeal No. AP-94-017

DIRECT APPLIANCE SALES LTD.

Appellant

and

THE MINISTER OF NATIONAL REVENUE

Respondent

TRIBUNAL: ROBERT C. COATES, Q.C., Presiding Member

DESMOND HALLISSEY, Member LYLE M. RUSSELL, Member

REASONS FOR DECISION

This is an appeal under section 81.19 of the *Excise Tax Act*¹ (the Act) of a determination of the Minister of National Revenue that rejected the appellant's application for a federal sales tax (FST) inventory rebate on the basis that the application was filed outside the statutory time limit.

The issue in this appeal is whether the appellant is entitled to an FST inventory rebate notwithstanding that its rebate application was filed outside the time limit prescribed under subsection 120(8) of the Act.

The appellant does not dispute the fact that its rebate application was filed outside the prescribed time limit. However, the appellant is asking the Tribunal to grant its appeal based on considerations of equity and fairness to the taxpayer. At the outset of the hearing, the Tribunal indicated to the representative of the appellant that the Tribunal must apply the law as it stands and that it cannot dispense equity. Indeed, in response to the Tribunal, after reiterating that the appellant was seeking equitable relief, the representative indicated that he was aware that the Tribunal is not the right forum for such relief.

As stated by the Tribunal on numerous occasions, the Tribunal has no authority to vary a time limit clearly prescribed under the Act or to make decisions based on equitable considerations. In light of the foregoing, the Tribunal is of the view that the appeal must be dismissed.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

1. R.S.C. 1985, c. E-15.

Lyle M. Russell Member