

CANADIAN
INTERNATIONAL
TRADE TRIBUNAL

Procurement

DECISION AND REASONS

File No. PR-2009-091

A. Vedenin

Decision made Monday, February 22, 2010

Decision and reasons issued Thursday, February 25, 2010



IN THE MATTER OF a complaint filed pursuant to subsection 30.11(1) of the Can	adian
International Trade Tribunal Act, R.S.C. 1985 (4th Supp.), c. 47	

 \mathbf{BY}

A. VEDENIN

AGAINST

THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND GOVERNMENT SERVICES

DECISION

Pursuant to subsection 30.13(1) of the *Canadian International Trade Tribunal Act*, the Canadian International Trade Tribunal has decided not to conduct an inquiry into the complaint.

Stephen A. Leach
Stephen A. Leach
Presiding Member

Dominique Laporte
Dominique Laporte
Secretary

STATEMENT OF REASONS

- 1. Subsection 30.11(1) of the Canadian International Trade Tribunal Act¹ provides that, subject to the Canadian International Trade Tribunal Procurement Inquiry Regulations,² a potential supplier may file a complaint with the Canadian International Trade Tribunal (the Tribunal) concerning any aspect of the procurement process that relates to a designated contract and request the Tribunal to conduct an inquiry into the complaint. Subsection 30.13(1) of the CITT Act provides that, subject to the Regulations, after the Tribunal determines that a complaint complies with subsection 30.11(2) of the CITT Act, it shall decide whether to conduct an inquiry into the complaint.
- 2. Ms. A. Vedenin alleges that the Department of Public Works and Government Services (PWGSC), in regard to a procurement (Solicitation No. 51345-090002/A), on behalf of the Department of Veterans Affairs, for the provision of nursing care services, delayed the bid evaluation process until the bid validity period expired, which resulted in the lost opportunity to profit.
- 3. As indicated above, subsection 30.11(1) of the *CITT Act* provides that, "[s]ubject to the regulations, a potential supplier may file a complaint with the Tribunal concerning any aspect of the procurement process that relates to a designated contract and request the Tribunal to conduct an inquiry into the complaint."
- 4. The Tribunal must first examine whether there is a "designated contract" as defined in section 30.1 of the *CITT Act*. This section defines such a contract as "... a contract for the supply of goods or services that has been or is proposed to be awarded by a government institution and that is designated or of a class of contracts designated by the regulations."
- 5. For the purposes of the definition of "designated contract" in section 30.1 of the *CITT Act*, the *Regulations* designate any contract or class of contract concerning a procurement of goods or services or any combination of goods or services by a government institution, as described in Article 1001 of the *North American Free Trade Agreement*, Article 502 of the *Agreement on Internal Trade*, Article I of the *Agreement on Government Procurement*, Article Kbis-01 of Chapter Kbis of the *Canada-Chile Free Trade Agreement* or Chapter 14 of the *Canada-Peru Free Trade Agreement*.

3. North American Free Trade Agreement between the Government of Canada, the Government of the United Mexican States and the Government of the United States of America, 17 December 1992, 1994 Can. T.S. No. 2 (entered into force 1 January 1994) [NAFTA].

^{1.} R.S.C. 1985 (4th Supp.), c. 47 [*CITT Act*].

^{2.} S.O.R./93-602 [*Regulations*].

^{4. 18} July 1994, C. Gaz. 1995.I.1323, online: Internal Trade Secretariat http://www.ait-aci.ca/index_en/ait.htm [AIT].

^{5. 15} April 1994, online: World Trade Organization http://www.wto.org/english/docs-e/legal-e/final-e.htm [AGP].

^{6.} Free Trade Agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of the Republic of Chile, 1997 Can. T.S. No. 50 (entered into force 5 July 1997) [CCFTA]. Chapter Kbis, entitled "Government Procurement", came into effect on September 5, 2008.

^{7.} Free Trade Agreement between Canada and the Republic of Peru, online: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade http://www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/agr-acc/peru-perou/chapter-chapitre-14.aspx (entered into force 1 August 2009) [CPFTA].

- 6. The Tribunal notes that the procurement at issue is for the provision of nursing care services and, according to the Notice of Proposed Procurement included with the complaint, that the services are classified as a subset of "G001A: Health Care Services", under Category G of the Common Classification System.⁸ As such, the Tribunal considers that this procurement is for health services.
- 7. The Tribunal is therefore of the view that, for the following reasons, none of the trade agreements apply:
 - Paragraph 1(e) of Annex 502.1B of the AIT excludes "health services" from coverage;
 - Annex 1001.1b-1 of *NAFTA*, Annex Kbis-01.1-3 of the *CCFTA* and Annex 1401.1-4 of the *CPFTA*, which all use the Common Classification System for classifying services, exclude all classes of services under Category G, "Health and Social Services"; and
 - Annex 4 of Canada's Appendix 1 to the *AGP*, which provides a listing of services that Canada offers for coverage, does not include any health services.
- 8. Given that none of the trade agreements apply to the solicitation in question, the Tribunal lacks the jurisdiction to initiate an inquiry into the complaint.

DECISION

9. Pursuant to subsection 30.13(1) of the *CITT Act*, the Tribunal has decided not to conduct an inquiry into the complaint.

Stephen A. Leach Stephen A. Leach Presiding Member

^{8.} http://www.sice.oas.org/trade/nafta/chap-105.asp.